10 For the Northern District of California 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI	A

BRYAN N. TAYLOR,

No. C 07-639 MHP (pr)

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

PELICAN BAY; et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff's motion for default judgment is DENIED. (Docket # 59.) Defendants were not in default, and default had not been entered, at the time he filed his motion. Plaintiff states in his motion that he did not receive defendants' motion for summary judgment because they sent it to the wrong apartment number (i.e., they sent it to apartment 235 instead of apartment 237) at the correct street address at which he now lives. The clerk will send him a replacement copy of the summary judgment moving papers.

Plaintiff filed a request for a copy of the court file for this action and later filed a request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis to obtain a copy of the complaint. The request for a copy of the entire court file is DENIED. (Docket #41.) The request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED as unnecessary because the court already granted plaintiff leave to proceed as a pauper. (Docket # 62.) The clerk will send to plaintiff a copy of his complaint, amended complaint, the 341 pages of exhibits to his pleading, and the order of partial dismissal and service. As to any other documents he wants from the court file, plaintiff must pay the standard photocopying charges, because the court does not provide free copies of court documents to litigants or non-litigants. The clerk will send plaintiff a copy of the photocopy order form for him to complete for any documents he wants copied from the

court file. Plaintiff states in his pauper application that he is unemployed and cannot pay for
photocopies. Plaintiff is informed that, if his case survives summary judgment, he will have
to pay his own costs for preparing for trial and getting himself and his witnesses to the
courthouse in San Francisco because the court does not pay travel or other expenses related
to a <u>pro se</u> litigant's presentation of his case at trial. <u>See generally Dixon v. Ylst</u> , 990 F.2d
478, 480 (9th Cir. 1992); <u>Tedder v. Odel</u> , 890 F.2d 210, 211-12 (9th Cir. 1989).

Plaintiff's opposition to the motion for summary judgment must be filed and served on defendants' counsel no later than **May 28, 2010**. Defendants' reply, if any, must be filed and served on plaintiff no later than **June 14, 2010**. No further extensions of any of these deadlines should be expected.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 26, 2010

Marilyn Hall Patel United States District Judge